

MAIL STOP AF **RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 EXPEDITED PROCEDURE EXAMINING GROUP 2100**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

B. Dharmarajan

Attorney Docket No. MSFT11543corres. and Mail

Application No.: 09/650,105

Group Art Unit: 2131

Filed:

August 29, 2000

Examiner: T.T. Arani

Title:

METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR AUTHORIZING A CLIENT COMPUTER TO

ACCESS A SERVER COMPUTER

TRANSMITTAL LETTER FOR RESPONSE AFTER FINAL REJECTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 AND PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

Seattle, Washington 98101

July 14, 2005

TO THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS:

A. Transmittal

Transmitted herewith is a "Response and Request for Reconsideration" in the aboveidentified application. No additional claim fee is required.

B. Petition for Extension of Time

Applicant respectfully requests that the shortened statutory period for response to the outstanding Office Action dated February 15, 2005, set to expire on May 15, 2005, be extended by two months to expire on July 15, 2005.

Enclosed is our Check No. 164903 in the amount of:			
	\$120 (one month)		\$1020 (three months)
x	\$450 (two months)		\$1590 (four months)
10000000 00000			

07/18/2005 HVUONG1 00000050 09650105

01 FC:1252

450.00 NP

C. Additional Fee Charges or Credit for Overpayment

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16, 1.17 and 1.18 which may be required during the entire pendency of the application, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 03-1740. This authorization also hereby includes a request for any extensions of time of the appropriate length required upon the filing of any reply during the entire prosecution of this application. A copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSPLLC

Clint J. Feekes

Registration No. 51,670 Direct Dial No. 206.695.1633

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service in a sealed envelope as first class mail with postage thereon fully prepaid and addressed to Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the below date.

Date:

July 14, 2005

CJF:mgp



MAIL STOP AF **RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 EXPEDITED PROCEDURE EXAMINING GROUP 2100**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

B. Dharmarajan

Attorney Docket No.: MSFT115431

Application No.: 09/650,105

Group Art Unit: 2131

Filed:

August 29, 2000

Examiner: T.T. Arani

Title:

METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR AUTHORIZING A CLIENT COMPUTER

TO ACCESS A SERVER COMPUTER

RESPONSE AND REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Seattle, Washington 98101

July 14, 2005

TO THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS:

INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS

Applicant respectfully requests that the above-identified patent application be reexamined and reconsidered. Claims 1-21 are now pending in this application. In a final Office Action dated February 15, 2005 (hereinafter the "Office Action"), Claims 1-5, 8-13, 17, 19, and 21 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,263,432 to Sasmazel et al. (hereinafter "Sasmazel"), in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,721,777 to Blaze et al. (hereinafter "Blaze"), in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,101,486 to Roberts et al. (hereinafter "Roberts"), in further view of U.S. Patent No. 5,999,711 to Misra et al. (hereinafter "Misra"). Claims 6-7 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sasmazel in view of Blaze, Roberts, and Misra, and in further view of U.S. Patent No. 6,005,853 to Wang et al. (hereinafter "Wang"). Claims 14-16, 18, and 20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sasmazel in view of Roberts, Blaze, and Misra, and in further view of U.S. Patent No. 5,481,539 to Hershey et al. (hereinafter "Hershey"). Prior to discussing in detail why

applicant believes that all of the claims in the application are allowable, a brief description of

applicant's invention and the cited references is provided.

The following discussions of the disclosed embodiments of applicant's invention and the

teachings of the applied references are not provided to define the scope or interpretation of any

of applicant's claims. Instead, such discussed differences are provided to help the U.S. Patent

and Trademark Office (hereinafter "the Office") better appreciate important claim distinctions

discussed thereafter.

Summary of the Present Invention

The present invention allows users of a client computer to access a second server-based

application based on previously provided authorization to access a first server-based application.

The access to the second server-based application is based on the previously provided access to a

first server-based application that can securely authenticate a client computer without requiring a

user to endure a lengthy log-in procedure.

The invention is ideally suited for use with client computers capable of concurrently

executing multiple client application programs, such as an instant messaging client application

and a Web browser application. The client computer may make requests to server-based

applications. If the client computer is authorized to access a first server-based application, an

authorization ticket will be transmitted to the client computer. The authorization ticket is

encrypted and includes a time stamp indicating the time at which the authentication ticket was

created. Once the client computer has been provided authorization to access the first server-

based application, a client application starts an elapsed time counter.

In one implementation of the present invention, when a request is made by the client

computer to access a second server-based application, the client application communicating with

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSPLLE 1420 Fifth Avenue Suite 2800

Suite 2800 Seattle, Washington 98101 206.682.8100

-2-

the first server-based application determines the session length based upon the elapsed time

counter. The client application then concatenates the original authorization ticket, the session

length, and a secret shared with the second server-based application. A hash function is then

applied to the concatenated data to create a unique hash value. The client stores the

authorization ticket, the session length, and the hash value in a file that is accessible to a second

client application executing on the client computer. The client also starts a persistence timer

when the file is saved. The persistence timer is periodically checked to determine if a

predetermined amount of time has elapsed. If the predetermined amount of time has elapsed, the

file is deleted from the client computer.

The client computer then launches the second client application and causes a log-in

request to be transmitted from the second client application to the second server-based

application. The request includes the file containing the authorization ticket, the session length,

and the hash. The second client application then receives and displays results received from the

second server-based application. When the second server-based application receives the log-in

request, the authorization ticket is decrypted and the shared secret is obtained from a database.

The second server-based application then compares the computed hash value to the hash value

received from the second client application. If the two hash values are identical, the second

server-based application authorizes the client computer. As a result, a user does not experience

multiple log-in procedures when accessing multiple server-based applications that service

different client-based applications.

Summary of Sasmazel

Sasmazel purportedly discloses a system for authentication of data communications over

a network that maintains user authorization throughout a network session. More specifically,

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS^{PLLC} 1420 Fifth Avenue

1420 Fifth Avenue Suite 2800 Seattle, Washington 98101

206.682.8100

-3-

Sasmazel purportedly allows a distributed system to maintain user authorization regardless of

which computer in the distributed system handles the user request. Two server computers are

used to authenticate a user and maintain that authentication throughout a network session—for

example, a Web site visit. The first server is the "authentication server," which performs actions

necessary to authenticate a user. The second server is the "authorization server" that checks a

user's authorization level. According to Sasmazel, the authentication server is the core of the

system, serving to coordinate processes including receiving authentication information from a

user and generating an eticket. The authorization server uses the information in the eticket to

check authorization functionality.

Summary of Blaze

Blaze purportedly discloses a system for cryptographic key management that includes a

smartcard that stores information about predetermined conditions under which an escrow agent is

able to decrypt data files. Also, the Blaze system includes a tracking mechanism that records

every time the smartcard is used for decryption. More specifically, Blaze purportedly discloses a

portable cryptographic module (i.e., smartcard) that is configured to store programmed

instructions whose execution grants access to cleartext data files. The programmed instructions

may impose limitations on either the scope of information that is accessible to an escrow agent or

limitations on when data files can be retrieved in cleartext form.

Summary of Roberts

Roberts purportedly discloses a system for automatically collecting profile information

when a customer accesses a company Web site. After the profile information is known, dynamic

content is displayed to the customer based on the customer's profile, which includes customized

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS^{PLLC} 1420 Fifth Avenue Suite 2800

Suite 2800 Seattle, Washington 98101 206.682.8100

-4-

Web pages. The Roberts system for gathering customer profile data includes receiving user

identification data and creating a customer profile. The customer profile is retrieved from a

profile database when a call from the customer is received. Thereafter, the customer profile is

compared to marketing material maintained by the company and a dynamic content message is

generated for display on the customer computer.

Summary of Misra

Misra is purportedly directed to a system that has a facility to check authorization and

authentication information in a distributed environment. The system includes a principal, such

as a portable computer that holds a secure package which may be encrypted or may include a

digital signature. Once the principal has been provided with the secure package, the principal

may send a request to log in to the distributed system along with authorization and authentication

information. The secure package is accessed to determine whether the principal is authorized to

connect to the distributed system. Where the principal is not authorized to connect to the

distributed system, the principal's request to log in is denied. In contrast, where the principal is

authorized to connect to the distributed system, the principal's request to connect is granted.

Summary of Wang

Wang is purportedly directed to a channel access protocol for implementing a wireless

data network. More specifically, Wang discloses a network protocol for a high channel

utilization. The resulting network access scheme allows a transmitter to send messages to a

cellular base station, simultaneously with other transmitters, without the need for retransmission,

if the message reaches the receiver with sufficient strength. Multiple transmitters and receivers

are distributed over a geographical area, sharing the same frequency channels. As a result,

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS^{PLLC} 1420 Fifth Avenue Suite 2800

Suite 2800 Seattle, Washington 98101 206,682,8100

-5-

multiple messages are able to capture multiple receivers simultaneously, thereby improving the

channel utilization. A message received by a base station is forwarded, either by a wired link or

wireless link, to a network control center for routing. The base stations are distributed over a

service area in accordance with the expected density of the wireless terminals and the physical

attributes of the terrain.

Summary of Hershey

Hershey is purportedly directed to a system for communicating between mobile

telephone devices. More specifically, Hershey purportedly discloses a communication protocol

where a mobile unit creates a message packet that it desires to transmit to an intended receiver

having a unique identification number, such as a mobile telephone number. The initiating

mobile unit broadcasts the message packet in low power to local mobile units in the reception

area. Each mobile unit that receives the message without errors responds with an

acknowledgement signal. Each mobile unit that receives the broadcast determines if the message

packet is valid. The mobile units compare the mobile unit identification number of the valid

message packets with its own internal identification number. If the identification numbers

match, the message was successfully transmitted to the intended mobile unit.

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS**LC 1420 Fifth Avenue

Suite 2800 Seattle, Washington 98101 206.682.8100